

Journal of Languages & Translation P-ISSN: 2716-9359 E-ISSN: 2773-3505 Volume 05 Issue 02 July 2025 pp.53-62



# Autobiography and History in the Slave Narratives: The Case of Frederick Douglass's The Narrative of the Life of Frederick Douglass, an American Slave, Written by Himself

<sup>1</sup>Ounissa AIT BENALI

Abderrahmane Mira University of Bejaia, Algeria

ounissa.aitbenali@univ-bejaia.dz

<sup>0</sup>0009-0009-8763-0227

*Received* 21/11/2024

Accepted 29/05/2025

**Published** 01/07/2025

#### Abstract

This article probes into the intricate interplay between autobiography and history within Frederick Douglass's narrative, offering an in-depth exploration of how his personal experiences intersect with and sheds light on the broader sociopolitical landscape of Antebellum America. Through the use of a new historicist framework as inspired by Stephen Greenblatt's critical approach and integrating Philippe Lejeune's seminal concept of the "autobiographical pact," this study foregrounds Douglass's deliberate and strategic use of autobiography as a dual-purpose instrument, both for authentic self-expression and incisive social critique. The analysis pays particular attention to the challenges inherent in establishing a harmonious autobiographical pact between a Black writer and a predominantly white readership, especially regarding contentious issues of race, identity, and pervasive injustice. This article critically examines how Douglass grapples with the tensions between the subjective arena of personal memory and the objective realm of historical reality, demonstrating how his narrative actively shapes and is being shaped by the prevailing historical forces and power structures of his era. Through close textual analysis combined with rigorous historical contextualization, the study investigates the complex dynamics between individual agency and institutional oppression, highlighting Douglass's narrative as a profound site where personal testimony confronts and resists established racism. Ultimately, this article sheds light on the enduring relevance and transformative power of Douglass's storytelling, underscoring how his life story serves not only as a testament to personal resilience but also as a powerful act of historical witness and social resistance against the prevalent injustices of his time.

**Keywords;** Slave narratives; autobiographical pact; socio-historical context; self-fashioning; anecdotes.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Corresponding author: Ounissa AIT  $\underline{\text{BENALI/ounissa.aitbenali@univ-bejaia.dz}}$ 

Journal of Languages & Translation © 2025. Published by University of Chlef, Algeria.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

# Introduction

Frederick Douglass's The Narrative of the Life of Frederick Douglass: An American Slave, Written by Himself (1845) stands as an influential work in American literature, not only for its compelling narrative style but also for its profound exploration of the interplay between autobiography and history. Many scholarly perspectives exist and analyse the way Douglass uses his personal narrative to expound broader historical themes, particularly concerning slavery, freedom, and the American experience. Numerous scholars have examined Douglass's narrative as a form of empowerment through self-representation. Critics such as William L. Andrews (1991) highlight Douglass's strategic use of his life story to challenge the dehumanizing narratives perpetuated by slavery. Through recounting his experiences with vivid detail and emotional resonance, Douglass asserts his agency and asserts his humanity in the face of oppression (1991: 204). Angela Davis (2009) in her critical edition of the narrative emphasizes Douglass's meticulous attention to historical detail, from the brutality of plantation life to the complex dynamics of race and power. By contextualizing his personal narrative within broader historical events, Douglass, to trust Davis, offers readers a intricate understanding of the social and political forces that shaped his life. Another Critic, Robert B. Stepto (1982) explores the rhetorical strategies employed by Douglass to convey his message effectively. For him, Douglass's narrative is not merely a recounting of events but a carefully crafted work of literature designed to persuade and provoke. Through vivid imagery, poignant anecdotes, and persuasive arguments, Douglass engages readers on both intellectual and emotional levels, compelling them to confront the injustices of slavery and envision a more just society. Deborah E. McDowell (2001) traces the impact of Douglass's work on the development of African American literature and the broader struggle for civil rights. She contends that Douglass's fearless confrontation of racial injustice continues to inspire contemporary efforts to challenge systemic oppression and promote social change. These scholars and to cite but a few all agree that Douglass's narrative occupies a central place in both literary and historical discourse. Our objective in this analysis is to substantiate these views and to contribute by focusing on an overlooked point which is the relevance of discussing Douglass's narrative through Greenblatt's self-fashioning, a new historicist concept and Philippe Lejeune's autobiographical pact to show the transformative potential of autobiography through which Douglass does not only assert his own humanity but also sheds light on the broader historical forces that shaped his world.

## 1. Theoretical Framework

For the sake of reaching the objectives of this analysis we will apply some selected concept of the new historicist approach, as self-fashioning and anecdotes and expend on Lejeune's textual study of autobiography to read and comment on Douglass's narrative. These two scholars seem to follow the same line of enquiry in their discussions of the importance of dialogue between text and its context. While Greenblatt suggests that literary and non-literary texts are linked to a web of other texts and the various conditions of their production (1980: 7), Lejeune contends that a literary genre is an "assemblage" of variable and complex number of distinct traits that should be understood first synchronically in the general system of readings pertaining to a period of time (1975: 8). Douglass's narrative, then, presents a compelling case study for both self-fashioning and re-fashioning of the author, and the autobiographical pact which is a concept that explores the implicit agreement between author and reader regarding the authenticity of personal narrative. Philippe Lejeune's concept of the autobiographical pact offers a fundamental framework for understanding the dynamic relationship between author and reader in autobiographical texts. scholarly perspectives on Lejeune's autobiographical pact focus on its implications for the readerwriter relationship within the genre of autobiography. Lejeune defines the autobiographical pact as the implicit agreement between author and reader regarding the authenticity and truthfulness

of the narrative. Scholars such as Paul de Man and Paul John Eakin elucidate the key characteristics of this pact, including the presumption of truth, the presence of a real-life authorial figure, and the expectation of self-revelation. Through the autobiographical pact, readers engage with autobiographical texts with a particular set of expectations regarding the author's sincerity and veracity, in this regard, Lejeune explains that: "An autobiography is not a text in which one speaks the truth about oneself, but a text in which a real person says that he or she is speaking the truth about himself or herself. And this commitment has specific effects on how the text is received. You don't read a text the same way if you believe it to be an autobiography as you do if you believe it to be a work of fiction". (2015: 17). This is an issue that we shall explore throughout the analysis of Douglass's slave narrative together with the way the author's voice refashions his readership which is in this case a more complicated initiative.

#### 2. Analysis

#### 2.1. Self-fashioning / Re-fashioning in Douglass's Narrative

Self-fashioning is a concept used by Stephen Greenblatt (1980) in his new historicist approach to literary analysis to shed light on the interdependence of context and the social practices emphasizing the subjection of the social and the historical knowledge to the cultural values and opinions related to the period of time they emerge from (1980: 3). New Historicism acknowledges not only that a work of literature is influenced by its author's times and circumstances, but that the critic's response to that work is also influenced by his environment, beliefs, and prejudices. This goes in the same line with Lejeune's contract between the reader and the autobiography's author. According to Greenblatt, self-fashioning refers to the deliberate and strategic efforts by individuals to create and present themselves in specific ways to society. This process involves the negotiation of social norms, cultural expectations, and power dynamics in order to assert agency and achieve desired social, political, and personal goals. He writes, "the writer's self-fashioning refers to the achievement of a tangible shape: A distinctive personality, a characteristic address to the world, a consistent mode of perceiving and behaving" (Greenblatt, 1980: 2).

In the context of the Renaissance, Greenblatt examines how figures such as Thomas More and William Shakespeare crafted their identities through their writings, actions, and interactions with others. He argues that these individuals used various literary and performative techniques to shape their public personas, influence perceptions of themselves, and navigate the complex social hierarchies of their time.

In Frederick Douglass's narrative (1845), "self-fashioning" refers to his deliberate construction of identity and persona as a means of asserting agency and challenging the dehumanizing institution of slavery. Douglass strategically crafts his narrative to not only recount his personal experiences but also to shape how he is perceived by his readers and society at large. One key aspect of Douglass's self-fashioning is his depiction of himself as a self-made man. Despite being born into slavery, Douglass fashions his intellectual curiosity, resilience, and determination as to educate himself. By highlighting his efforts to learn to read and write, Douglass challenges prevailing stereotypes about the intellectual inferiority of African Americans and asserts his inherent dignity and humanity.

Education in the case of Douglass is not only risky but often outright forbidden. At that time, in the southern American plantations slaveholders understood the power of education in

empowering individuals (slaves) that potentially would lead them to rebellion or resistance. They feared that educated slaves would become aware of their rights and seek freedom, challenging the status quo of slavery. Yet, the challenge for a slave is to become conscious about the situation during this time period. Douglass's awareness rises after hearing Mr Auld instructing his wife telling, her among other, things that "it was unlawful, as well as unsafe, to teach a slave to read" (Douglass, 1845: 29). Douglass hears Mr Auld discourse and the most moving words that sharp and shapes his curiosity are the following:

If you give a nigger an inch, he will take an ell. A nigger should know nothing but to obey his master- to do as he is told to do. Learning would spoil the best nigger in the world...if you teach that nigger (speaking of Douglass) how to read, there would be no keeping him. It would forever unfit him to be a slave. He would at once become unmanageable, and of no value to his master. As to himself, it could do him no good, but a great deal of harm. It would make him discontented and unhappy (Douglass, 1845:29).

From the quote above, it becomes clear that the master is afraid of the slave in one case only when he becomes an educated individual. Mrs Auld who started to teach Douglass the A.B.C stopped her teachings and even regretted initiating him into reading because it is something she cannot cancel, thinking she contributed in creating an enemy or an unmanageable monster. From this time on, Douglass starts to refashion his already fashioned enslaved self and undertakes the process to forging a new identity, the one that is most feared by the white master. These words have triggered a new birth inside Douglass's self, they "called into existence an entirely new train of thoughts. It was a new and a special revelation" (1845: 29). He mainly understands in a "perplexing difficulty" as he says, which is that grants the white man power to enslave the black man.

## 2.2. Douglass's Journey to Self-Education

Greenblatt affirms that "self-fashioning is always, though not exclusively in language" (1980: 9), showing the importance of literacy in shaping one's own identity. Frederick Douglass's journey to self-education was driven by his relentless determination and resourcefulness to learn how to write and read, despite the harsh circumstances of slavery. He, like Prometheus, followed many stratagems to steal knowledge from the white man. He starts by learning from others by observing white children who can read and write and realizes the power of literacy. He seeks opportunities to learn from them, often surreptitiously observing their lessons. This is what happens when he secretly, when possible, tires to write in the blank spaces of his little Master Thomas who is a schoolboy learning to read and write. Douglass writes, "when left thus, I used to spend the time in writing in the spaces left in Master Thomas's copy-book, copying what he had written. I continue to do this until I could write a hand very similar to that of Master Thomas" (1845:38).

Douglass often seeks to be taught by Kind-hearted white children he encounters and who shows him sympathy. These children are innocent and are not yet spoiled by their parents' instruction concerning the best way to enslave people. Though this was often done covertly and against the wishes of their parents or other authority figures, it provided Douglass with essential foundational knowledge. He even exchanges bread for knowledge by tricking local boys as he says, "this bread I used to bestow upon the hungry little urchins, who in return, would give me that more valuable bread of knowledge" (1845: 34).

For the sake of enlightening his ignorant mind and refashioning his self through education he sets himself in quest of seeking not the Grail but knowledge. Studying Secretly becomes his every day's objective despite the risks of severe punishment. He dedicates whatever spare moments he has to study, often under the cover of darkness or when his overseers are occupied. He practices writing in the dirt with a stick or secretly teaches himself to read by any means available. As he grew more proficient, Douglass sought out any reading material he could find, including discarded newspapers, old books, and even political pamphlets. He would read anything he could get his hands on, absorbing knowledge and expanding his vocabulary. He gradually educated himself, defying the laws and societal norms that sought to keep him ignorant and subservient. His determination to learn in the face of adversity is a testament to the power of the human spirit and the shaping / transformative potential of education.

Frederick Douglass's earliest encounters with reading material were limited, as slaves were typically denied access to books and other educational resources. However, despite these obstacles, Douglass managed to get his hands on a few key texts that profoundly influenced his intellectual development. Some of the books he first read include The Columbian Orator which was a popular schoolbook at the time, containing speeches, dialogues, and essays on topics such as liberty, equality, and the rights of man. Douglass found this book particularly influential in shaping his views on abolition and human rights. The most crucial dialogue in shaping his sense of debate is the dialogue between the master and the salve and about which he writes the following extract:

I got hold of a book entitled The Columbian Orator. Every opportunity I got, I used to read this book. Among much of other interesting matter, I found in it a dialogue between a master and his slave. The slave was represented as having run away from his master three times. The dialogue represented the conversation which took place between them, when the slave was taken the third time. In this dialogue, the whole argument in behalf of slavery was brought forward by the master, all of which was disposed of by the slave. The slave was made to say some very smart as well as impressive things in reply to his master-things that had the desired though unexpected effect; for the conversation resulted in the voluntary emancipation of the slave on the part of the master (1845: 34).

The passage above bear witness of the effect of reading the truth in the mind of Douglass and the power of this truth in the mind of even a slaveholder. He becomes conscious that the slave, like the master can enter the battleground of philosophical ideas and defend himself by the force of rhetoric and argumentation. The arena of ideas that is won by the slave who succeeds to gain freedom through debate with his master gives him courage and a possible hope in reaching freedom. This new consciousness nullifies the internalized white stereotyped views about the intellectual inferiority of the slave and opens new possible horizons for Douglass.

In the same book, he met with one of Sheridan's mighty speeches on and in behalf of Catholic emancipation. Sheridan was a staunch supporter of Catholic emancipation, which sought to end discrimination against Catholics and grant them equal rights, including the right to hold public office and serve in Parliament. His speeches in the House of Commons were notable for their eloquence and forcefulness, as he argued passionately for the repeal of laws that discriminated against Catholics. Sheridan's most famous speech on the topic that inspired Douglass was delivered during the debate on the Catholic Relief Act of 1791. In this speech, he eloquently argued for the need to grant Catholics their civil rights and highlighted the injustice of denying them basic freedoms solely based on their religious beliefs. Commenting on these speeches Douglass declares that, "these were choice documents to me. I read them over and over again with unabated interest" (1845:34). Their importance in shaping his intellectual consciousness is clear when he dexterously describes their effect on his thoughts, "they gave tongue to interesting thoughts of my own soul, which had frequently flashed through my mind, and died away for want of utterance" (1845: 34).

Another reading influence that reshaped Douglass's personality was The Bible. It was often used by slaveholders to justify their oppressive practices during Sunday sermons and to tame any attempts of rebellion from the slaves. Though he knows the hypocrisy of white masters as to citing the Bible for their slaves to justify their whippings (1845: 46), Yet, Douglass found within its pages a message of hope and liberation. He drew inspiration from stories of Moses leading the Israelites out of slavery and saw parallels between their struggles and his own.

Douglass eagerly consumed whatever reading material he could find, including newspapers and political pamphlets. He particularly sought out articles and essays that discussed issues of freedom, justice, and equality, using them to further educate himself and inform his views on the world. These early literary experiences laid the foundation for Douglass's intellectual growth and shaped his identity as a thinker, writer, and advocate for social justice. Despite the limited access to books and education as a slave, Douglass's thirst for knowledge and his determination to learn were instrumental in his eventual escape from bondage and his lifelong commitment to the cause of abolition.

## 2.3. Douglass's Use of Anecdotes to Shape his Surrounding: Dialogue with History

New Historicism emphasizes the interplay between literature and history, viewing literary texts as products of their socio-cultural milieu and as sites of contestation and negotiation of power dynamics. In Greenblatt's framework, anecdotes are often understood as brief, illustrative narratives or episodes that convey specific incidents, experiences, or observations. These anecdotes are not merely incidental or decorative elements within a text but are rather integral to the larger narrative structure, offering glimpses into the lived experiences of individuals and communities within a particular historical moment.

Greenblatt's approach to studying literature through New Historicism underscores the importance of attending to the particularities of these anecdotes, their language, imagery, and thematic resonances, in order to glean insights into the broader socio-cultural dynamics at play. By analysing anecdotes within their historical and cultural contexts, Greenblatt seeks to illuminate the ways in which literature reflects and refracts the social realities of its time, while also shaping and contesting dominant ideologies and power structures.

In autobiographical narratives, anecdotes play a crucial role in conveying the lived experiences and personal journey of the author. These short, illustrative narratives recount specific incidents, encounters, or reflections from the author's life. Within the context of autobiographies, anecdotes serve several important functions. For instance, they offer readers glimpses into the author's individual experiences, emotions, and perspectives, providing a sense of intimacy and personal connection. By recounting anecdotes from their own lives, authors personalize their narratives and invite readers to empathize with their journey. Anecdotes often serve as illustrative examples of the central themes, values, or beliefs explored in the autobiography. Through carefully selected anecdotes, authors can vividly depict pivotal moments, challenges overcome, or lessons learned, thereby enriching the overall narrative and reinforcing its thematic depth

Anecdotes humanize the autobiographical subject by portraying them as multifaceted individuals with joys, sorrows, successes, and failures. By sharing anecdotes that reveal vulnerabilities, quirks, or moments of triumph, authors present a more relatable portrait of themselves, challenging stereotypes and fostering empathy. They captivate readers' attention and sustain their interest in the narrative by offering compelling and often dramatic episodes from the author's life. These brief, self-contained stories serve as narrative hooks, drawing readers into the autobiography and encouraging them to invest emotionally in the author's journey. These *petit récits* allow authors to reflect on their past experiences, offering insights, interpretations, or lessons. By revisiting and reinterpreting significant moments through the lens of their present understanding, authors engage in a process of self-reflection and meaning-making that enriches the autobiographical narrative

Anecdotes, then, play a vital role in shaping the texture, depth, and impact of autobiographical narratives, allowing authors to craft compelling stories that resonate with readers on a personal and emotional level. Through the artful selection and presentation of anecdotes, autobiographies convey the richness and complexity of the author's lived experiences while inviting readers to share in their journey of self-discovery and reflection.

Henry Louis Gate, JR. writes that, "the black slave's narrative came to be a communal utterance, a collective tale rather than merely an individual autobiography" (2002: xiii). In fact, and as Gate contends, Douglass's narrative is permeated by anecdotes that are related to the broader historical and cultural context of the time period in which he lived and wrote. They are bridges between his subjectivity and society. Douglass recounts numerous anecdotes to depict the brutality of slavery and the dehumanization of enslaved people. For instance, in the first chapter of the narrative, he vividly describes the bloody beating of his Aunt Hester by their master, Mr. Plummer, to illustrate the arbitrary and brutal exercise of power by slaveholders. It was his first traumatizing episode with the horrors of slavery, he writes, "I was so terrified and horror-stricken at the sight that I hid myself in the closet, and dared not venture out till long after the bloody transaction was over" (1845:6). This anecdote, though transmits a psychological suffering, it challenges the period's spread grand idea stipulating that the white slaveholder protects and preserves his slaves within the plantation's walls.

Similarly, he narrates his own experiences of physical abuse and the separation of families to highlight the inhumanity of the slave system. He speaks of a mother whom he couldn't meet because she works the day long, "she was a field hand, and a whipping is the penalty of not being in the field at sunrise... She died when I was about seven years old...called thus suddenly away, she left me without the slightest intimation of who my father was" (1845:2).

Douglass does not know if his master is his father, but in another anecdote, he sheds light on this class of slaves called mulattoes who are the master's children from women slaves. This class of slaves are renegades that are rejected by other slaves because they are from white blood and abhorred by the whites, mainly the white mistress because they are the fruit of the master's adulteries with female slaves. Douglass makes reference to an American statesman of the south who predicted the downfall of slavery by the inevitable laws of population (1845: 4). This anecdote does not only challenge, but even subverts the surrounding myths of the southern whites' pure blood and their moral ideal when it comes to justice and tolerance. "Killing slaves or coloured men", Douglass explains, "in Talbot County, Maryland is not treated as a crime, either by the courts or the community" (1845:21). Many other anecdotes testify of Douglass's use of his autobiography to transmit the sufferings of his brethren into public scene for the sake of destroying the institution of slavery. Thus, anecdotes emphasize the fact that autobiography in the case of the African American writing tradition is considered as a political act because "African American literary history begins with the self-consciously politicized autobiography" (Mostern K., 1999: 11). An affirmation that is going to be discussed further in the following section.

## 3.3. Autobiographical Pact and Slave Narratives: Douglass and his Time Readership

Personal writing has long served as a means for individuals to express their thoughts, experiences, and observations. From intimate reflections in personal diaries to meticulous documentation in academic journals, the act of writing in this form encapsulates multifaceted dimensions of human expression and knowledge construction. However, beyond the act of writing lies the equally intricate process of reception; how these written artifacts are interpreted, evaluated, and situated within their socio-historical contexts. In this article's section, we investigate the complexities of Douglass's narrative reception through the lens of new historicism that emphasizes the interplay between literature and its historical, cultural, and social contexts.

Philippe Lejeune's concept of the autobiographical pact offers a valuable framework for understanding the intricate dynamics between author and reader in autobiographical writing. As scholars continue to explore the implications of the autobiographical pact across diverse cultural, historical, and literary contexts, its relevance in shaping reader-writer relationships and ethical considerations remains significant in contemporary autobiographical discourse. According to Lejeune, the autobiographical pact comes in several forms, what all of them have in common is their intention to honor the signature of the autobiographer. Most commonly, one demonstrates this intention with reference to the title page of any given identity 'identicalness' of the name (author-narrator-protagonist) (Moster Kenneth, 1999:34). Lejeune's pact underscores the reader's role as an active participant in the construction of meaning, encouraging critical engagement with the narrative and recognition of the complexities of self-representation.

Writing and succeeding to publish an autobiography and convincing white readers to go through its pages in the case of Douglass, a slave for life, in the nineteenth century America is a challenging mission, almost impossible for both the author, the publisher. Reader-writer relationship is based on ethical considerations mainly in the case of slave narratives. For the sake of publishing his account, Douglass had not only to honor his signature but was obliged to follow some directives that may be referred to as "identicalness(es)" mentioned above. To be accepted for publication, the narrative should be entitled The Narrative of the Life of Frederick Douglass, an American Slave Written by Himself, to emphasize the credibility and authenticity of his work. Further, he needed the testimony of white abolitionist friends and this appears in the Preface to his narrative written by W M Lloyd Garrison, in which Garrison testifies that Douglass is the writer of the narrative, declaring what follows:

Mr Douglass has very properly chosen to write his own Narrative, in his own style, and according to the best of his ability, rather than to employ someone else. It is therefore, entirely his own production; and considering how long and dark was the career he had to run as a slave-how few have been his opportunities to improve his mind since he broke his iron fetters, - it is in my judgment, highly credible to his head and heart. (Douglass, 1845: ix).

This testimony informs of the society's pejorative, views about black men, and their "northern prejudice against a colored complexion" (1845: vii). It also depicts the white readership' unacceptability to assign any kind of intelligence or literacy to black people. In addition to Garrison's Preface, another letter follows before the starting of the actual narrative. The letter is written by Douglass's white friend Wendell Philips who encourages Douglass to deliver his memoir without any hesitation as to its reception by the readers. He writes that, "I am confident, everyone who reads your book will feel persuaded that you give them a fair specimen of the whole truth. No one-sided portrait -no wholesale complaints-but strict justice done" (1845: xvi). A portrait of the author should always precede the text of the slave narrative as a testimony of the accuracy of his identity. Furthermore, Douglass's narrative starts with the sentence "I was Born" to emphasise credibility and truth.

Despite the presence of these markers of authenticity that prove that the narrative is written by Douglass himself, still readers find ways to question the authority of the author because of racial prejudice. As a former slave writing about his experiences, Douglass confronts skepticism and disbelief from readers conditioned by prevailing racist ideologies. Through his meticulous attention to detail and vivid storytelling, Douglass asserts the truth of his narrative, challenging readers to confront the harsh realities of slavery. Therefore, he even supports his narrative with oral speeches to vulgarize the horrors of slavery and to inform northern people about the sufferings of the black people of the southern prison-house of slavery.

The autobiographical pact involves a negotiation between truth and representation, a theme central to Douglass's narrative. While his narrative is grounded in his lived experiences, it also functions as a persuasive argument against the institution of slavery, prompting readers to question the veracity of their own beliefs and assumptions. His narrative serves as a form of self-representation that empowers both the author and his readers. Douglass's assertion of his own agency and humanity challenges the dehumanizing narratives perpetuated by slavery. By reclaiming his story and presenting it to the world, he asserts his right to self-determination and invites readers to empathize with his struggle for freedom. He employs various rhetorical strategies to enhance the authenticity of his narrative and strengthen the autobiographical pact. Douglass uses vivid imagery, emotional appeal, and persuasive argumentation to convince readers of the truth of his experiences. Through his rhetorical skill, he establishes a powerful connection with his audience, compelling them to acknowledge the reality of slavery and confront their complicity in its perpetuation.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, the exploration of Frederick Douglass's Narrative unveils a profound interweaving of autobiography and history, showcasing how personal experience intersects with broader sociopolitical contexts. Douglass's narrative serves as a poignant testament to the power of individual storytelling in shaping collective consciousness and understanding of historical events. Through his eloquent prose and vivid recollections, Douglass not only recounts his personal journey from slavery to freedom but also illuminates the systemic injustices and complexities of American society during the antebellum period. By critically analysing Douglass's narrative, we gain valuable insights into the intricate dynamics between personal narrative and historical discourse, highlighting the significance of marginalized voices in reshaping dominant narratives and challenging established power structures.

His early literary experiences laid the foundation for his intellectual growth and shaped his identity as a thinker, writer, and advocate for social justice. Despite the limited access to books and education as a slave, Douglass's thirst for knowledge and his determination to learn were instrumental in his eventual escape from bondage and his lifelong commitment to the cause of abolition. Frederick Douglass's Narrative of the Life of Frederick Douglass, an American Slave exemplifies the complexities of the autobiographical pact within the context of slavery and liberation. Through his narrative, Douglass negotiates the tension between truth and representation, asserts his own agency, and challenges readers to confront the realities of injustice. As scholars continue to explore the autobiographical dimensions of Douglass's narrative, his legacy as a writer and advocate for social change remains as relevant today as ever. Ultimately, his narrative stands as a timeless masterpiece that continues to inspire reflection, dialogue, and action in the ongoing pursuit of social justice and equality.

# References

Davis, Angela. 2009. Women, Race and Class. New York. Vintage.

Douglass, Frederick. 1845. The Narrative of Frederick Douglass, an American Slave Written by Himself. Boston. The Anti-Slavery Office.

de Man, Paul. 1979. "Autobiography as De-Facement." *Modern Language Notes*, vol. 94, no. 5, pp. 919-930.

Eakin, Paul John. 1999. *How Our Lives Become Stories: Making Selves*. Cornell. Cornell University Press.

Gate, Henry Louis JR. 2002. The Classic Slave Narratives. New York. Signet Classics.

Greenblatt, Stephen. 1980. Renaissance Self-Fashioning: From More to Shakespeare. Chicago. Chicago University Press.

Lejeune, Philippe. 1989. "The Autobiographical Pact." On Autobiography. Edited by Paul John Eakin. Minnesota. University of Minnesota Press.

Moster, Kenneth.1999. Autobiography and Black Identity Politics: Racialization in Twentieth Century America. Cambridge: Cambridge university Press.